	ed States Paten	t and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and. Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22. www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,711	02/06/2004	John C. Montagna	601227-38U1	8293
570 7590 01/07/2005			EXAMINER	
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
		DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

•

. . [.]

.

.

		k á
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,711	MONTAGNA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hilary Gutman	3612
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
· · · · · · -	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		х.
9) \boxtimes The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is		objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	nt received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		y Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 	′ <u>•</u> , □,, ,	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other: _	·

-

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to a method of fabricating a cargo carrier, classified in class 264, subclass 239.
 - II. Claims 8-15, drawn to an apparatus for fabricating a cargo carrier, classified in class 15, subclass 3.

III. Claims 16-21, drawn to a cargo carrier, classified in class 296, subclass 39.2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II and III are related as process of making, apparatus, and product made.

With regard to inventions I and III, the inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product claimed can be made by another and materially different process.

With regard to inventions II and III, the inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I and II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. During a telephone conversation with Lynda Calderone on Tuesday, January 04, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 16-21.
Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 1-15 are hereby withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, [0001], line 2, "2002, now _____" should perhaps be "2002." since the patent number is unknown at this time. Appropriate correction is required.

9. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dresen et al.

Dresen et al. (4,693,507) disclose a cargo carrier for a motor vehicle comprising, in combination, a co-formed composite sheet having a first layer 84 of a first thermoplastic material and a second layer 16 of a second thermoplastic material bonded to said first layer, said composite sheet formed to define a pair of opposed, spaced apart sidewalls, a front wall

extending between and merging with said sidewalls, a bottom panel extending between and merging with said sidewalls and said front wall and a pair of wheel well features disposed generally between said bottom panel and a respective one of said pair of sidewalls (Figure 1), and a roughened, friction enhancing surface 84 residing on at least a surface of said bottom panel.

With regard to claim 17, wherein said composite sheet is co-extruded.

With regard to claim 18, wherein said first layer is an upper layer is a modified polyolefin.

With regard to claim 19, wherein said second layer 16 is a lower layer of high density polyethylene.

With regard to claim 21, wherein said first and second layers are bonded together without an adhesive.

With regard to claim 20, wherein said roughened, friction enhancing surface is achieved.

It should be noted that the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (MPEP 2113).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label

Hilary Gutman January 4, 2005